



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/817,548	01/10/86	BARRIERE	ELM 9485

STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER
515 NORTH WASHINGTON STREET
P.O. BOX 1427
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313

EXAMINER	
BOND, R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.17	08/19/86 4

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☒ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on _____ ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-15 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 1-15 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.
8. ☐ Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. These drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation).
10. ☐ The ☐ proposed drawing correction and/or the ☐ proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner. ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.
12. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☒ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other

Claims 1-15 are in the case.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "a nitrogen-containing 4 to 7 membered heterocyclic ring radical which may contain 1 or more other hetero atoms chosen from nitrogen, oxygen and sulphur" encompass groups having any relative arrangement of the hetero atoms as well as any type of unsaturation.

The case is similar with respect to such terms as "a saturated or unsaturated 4 to 7-membered heterocyclic ring which may contain another hetero atom chosen from nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur" and "nitrogen-containing 4 to 7-membered heterocyclic rings which may contain 1 or 2 other hetero atoms chosen from nitrogen, oxygen and sulphur"

The terms "a nitrogen-containing substituent capable of forming salts" are so vague and indefinite as to be virtually meaningless.

The terms "a saturated or unsaturated 5 or 6-membered heterocyclic ring which may contain another hetero atom chosen from nitrogen, oxygen and sulphur",

encompass groups having any relative arrangement of the hetero atoms as well as any type of unsaturation. The same is true of the terms "a nitrogen-containing 5 or 6-membered heterocyclic ring which may contain another hetero atom chosen from nitrogen, oxygen and sulphur".

The terms "acid addition salts" encompass salts of acids yet to be discovered. The terms "protected derivative" and "protective radical" are so vague and indefinite as to be virtually meaningless.

In claims 12-14, a particular use or uses should be recited. The term "pharmaceutical" is too vague and indefinite to constitute a utility. Also, proportions of ingredients should be recited. This may be done by functional language, if supported by the specification.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to

Serial No. 817548

-4-

Art Unit 129

the same person.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Noller.

Noller shows the conventional nature of the claimed process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert T. Bond at telephone number 703-557-3432.

Bond:gpe

7/31/86

Robert T. Bond

ROBERT T. BOND
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 129